Remimeo

Integrity Processing Series 8R, 4
THE TECH AND ETHICS OF
INTEGRITY PROCESSING

(Compiled from a Briefing to 3rd Mate and
4th Mate Flag given by L. RON HUBBARD.)

HCO is primarily interested in JUSTICE.

The method of justice practiced in the 17th and 18th Centuries was to catch the offenders and hang them, thus keeping the countryside "quiet".

Although useful as a method of quieting things down, however, it doesn't do people any good to be hung! You will find the remedy expressed in this rule:

WHEN YOU GIVE INTEGRITY PROCESSING TO A PERSON WITHOUT FINDING THE EARLIER BASIC, YOU HANG THEM.

If you can't chase back an Integrity Processing question to an F/N you are going to get continuous Ethics trouble from that person from then on until it is remedied.

When you give a guy Integrity Processing and it doesn't produce anything and the needle is clean you should indicate that the Integrity Processing was unnecessary. You will probably get an F/N.

HCO's interest in someone is normally in what is going on, what is he up to NOW. So one tends to omit to ask how come this guy has been committing overts for the past two-and-a-half years—the *same ones*—and it is *still* going on? Back in that earlier zone is one hell of an overt, continuous overts against Scientology or LRH. So what is it? You should trace it back and you could find a dilly!

It's the EARLIEST item available on that chain that will get the F/N. And remember that overts of Omission are always preceded by overts of Commission. So you should ask yourself, "How come all these overts of omission?" There's an earlier overt of commission, you can be sure.

This gives us another rule:

IF YOU CANNOT F/N A QUESTION, YOU HAVEN'T GOT IT.

Now it could be the buttons are out (invalidate, protest, action unnecessary). Did you know you can beef up a TA (send it up high) by doing an unnecessary action? It acts somewhat like forcing a wrong item on a pc. It puts him on a protest, a rejection and an effort to stop the action. That is where a lot of the unpopularity of earlier techniques stems from.

Of the rudiments ARC Break, problem, withhold, Integrity Processing specializes in overts and withholds. So the full panorama of Integrity Processing buttons is Ruds plus False, Suppress, Invalidate, Evaluate, Protest, Unnecessary. So if the TA goes up during Integrity Processing you should check buttons. If it doesn't handle rapidly and easily revert to the *L 1RA (Integ Repair List).*

IF YOU CAN'T GET AN F/N ON INTEGRITY PROCESSING AND HAVE TO END SESSION YOU MUST HAVE A LINE TO QUAL THAT CLEANS IT UP WITHIN 24 HOURS.

Every time an Integrity Processing action won't fly it has got to be a 24-hour urgent repair. The Integrity Processing Repair List consists of the ruds and buttons.

People ARC Break with the physical universe, with fellow men, feel wronged in some way and have to take it out on somebody, and so commit the overt. But the somebody

they attack is not the source of the upset. They misidentify the source. If their think was straight they would be able to see what the score was and have no charge on it.

An overt therefore is preceded by an ARC Break, and you will find an ARC Break is the result of a problem.

So each time you don't take a question to F/N you run up against this. This gives another way for them to get unpopular. But if it didn't F/N, you also know it *was* necessary to give the person Integrity Processing!

If you give a person Integrity Processing and you see a trail of catastrophes in that person's wake afterwards you *know* it didn't fly. Similarly a person who makes huge overts out of every little action, which is in essence self-invalidation, has behind that somewhere a huge overt—big enough to set the police of several galaxies after them ! If it doesn't F/N you haven't got it!

THE E-METER AND THE CRIMINAL

The joker in all this is that the E-Meter reads on *Reality.* So you can have a guy who reads on none of your questions, but you find out the next day he had done exactly what you asked him. Yet it didn't read! A real criminal just doesn't read on having killed his grandmother in cold blood five minutes before the Processing. Even if he admits it it doesn't read! But a real criminal *won't* clear and *won't* F/N.

Occasionally they will R/S.

You have to handle it on a gradient of reality. "Why wasn't that an overt?" is one way you could try. He would at first be very surprised at the very thought of it being an overt. But you could get a stream of justifications off. Another way is to magnify the overt. You can use that on a "no-overt" case.

The Tech of it belongs in the field of auditing.

Anytime Integrity Processing is done the session reports *must* go into the pc folder otherwise the C/S can make an error in C/Sing because of the omitted data.

One does not do Integrity Processing in the middle of other auditing rundowns.

The action therefore requires C/S clearance.

HCO AND CASE GAIN

(See HCO PL 20 July 1970, Cases and Morale of Staff")

The percentage of people who have case gain will be proportional to the level of morale in your Org. So it is of interest to HCO to ask the C/S how many no-case-gain cases he has (Pile 4), trace them down and isolate them. The names of those not doing well (Piles 2 and 3) should also be known and the numbers so you can make sure the greater percentage is getting good case gain.

HCO can get trouble stemming from lack of staff case progress. For instance you find an Exec giving excuses for not doing his job. It can be due to a no-case-gain under him enturbulating seniors and associates. They in turn, not recognizing him as the source of the enturbulation, buy the stops and the "can't be dones" and find some other excuse as to why not to do their job. Recognize that when someone dumps his hat on you he has *overts,* man!

An Executive instead of reporting that people don't want to work in his division should be asking, "How come they don't want to work in the division?"

Things will get better to the degree that such cases producing stops and "can'ts" have a line for them to be handled on.

Begin a campaign to get all these cases winning.

If there is any query as to which of the four categories of case folders (per HCO PL 20 July 70) a person belongs on, it goes on the one lower. For instance a category, Pile 2, queried as to status immediately becomes Pile 3.

Pile 4 cases are given Integrity Processing. Such processing is however not limited to such cases.

It is extremely valuable processing to raise the cause level of staff, students and others.