GOALS:

To sweep aside opposition sufficiently to create
a vacuum into which Scientology can expand.

GO 1366 MSH 10 Oct 74

PURPOSES:

To help LRH investigate public matters and indiv-
iduals which seem to impede human liberty so that
such matters may be exposed and to furnish intell-
igence required in guiding the progress of Scien-
tology.

HCOPL 17 Feb 66
Public Inv. Sectn.

The vital targets on which we must invest most
of our time are:

T1. Depopularizing the enemy to a point of total
obliteration.

T2. Taking over the control or allegiance of the
heads or proprietors of all news media.

T3. Taking over the control or allegiance of key
political figures.

T4. Taking over the control or allegiance of those
who monitor international finance and shift-
ing them to a less precarious finance stan-
dard.

T5. Generally revitalising the societies in which
we are operating.

T6. Winning overwhelming public support.

T7. Use all other similar groups as allies.

HCO PL 16 Feb 69
Targets Defense

Our war has been forced to become to take over
absolutely the field of mental healing on this
planet in all forms.

Our direct assault will come when they start to
arrest his principals and troops for crimes (al-
ready begun).

Our total victory will come when we run his org-
anisations, perform his functions and obtain his
financing and appropriations.

Note 2 Dec 69
Intell Actions
Covert Intell
Data Collection

The new purpose (of the GO) is: ESTABLISHING THE
INDISPENSIBILITY OF SCIENTOLOGY.

GO 1514 LRH 26 Jan 75

POLICY:

Intelligence is therefore that activity which
collects data and keeps it adding up so that we
know our foes from ours friends and so that we
can act to separate out the sources of trouble
in any given situation.

HCO Manual of Justice
16 Apr 59

This section may never be used on ethics section
matters but may work with ethics when an ex-Scien-
tologist is involved.

This section has nothing to do with dispersed
investigations of isolated cases. It may only
work on matters relating to groups and individuals
who are part of those groups...

1. Note what public or private group is attacking
Scientology.

2. Get a project warrant from the Advisory Council
Area or WW to investigate it.

3. Collect as many case histories as possible
on the individuals of that group, specializing
on those that can be led to criminal prosecution
by state or world agencies.

4. See that enough of the data is made available
to the state or world agencies to obtain convict-
ions.

5. See that excellent press coverage is given the
disclosures over as long a period of time as possible.

6. See that HCO and Scientology are given full
credit for protecting human rights and liberty.

The idea is that the press feeds on these (murder,
assault, destruction, violence, sex/ dishonesty)
factors and we feed them someone else's. It will
be found that our own Scientology groups contain
so few of these they have to be invented about us.
We need never invent them in the attacking group.
They will be found to be there.

Public Inv. Secto
17 Feb 66

This is the correct procedure:

1. Spot who is attacking us.

2. Start investigating them prompt~~ly-for-felonies~~ or worse using our own professionals, not outside agencies.

3. Double curve our reply by saying we welcome an investigation of them.

4. Start feeding lurid, blood, sex, crime actual evidence on the attackers to the press.

Don't ever tamely submit to an investigation of us. Make it rough on the attackers all the way.

The way we will eventually stop all attacks from there on out is by processing the society as follows:

1. Locate the source of the attack on us.

2. Investigate it.

3. Expose it with wide lurid publicity.

We are a practitioner to the society. It has rotten spots in it. Those show up in attacks on us. We investigate and expose - the attack ceases.

<div align="right">Attacks on Scientology
HCOPL 25 Feb 66</div>

The Planetary Intelligence Unit works with news-papers economic newsletters bulletins of intell-igence services, etc., and keeps a file of clipp-ings under headings of interest to Scientology. By such studies one can predict which way cats are going to jump and organize to meet situations which may threaten Scientology from possible enemies or to take advantage of situations which might benefit Scientology.

The Org Intelligence Unit receives copies of all SO A1 letters watching for upsets or trends in specific areas in order to correct those in con-trol of the area and regularly reviews the number of Dead Files per area to see if some area of Scientology has more dead filed than others.

It is true that entheta in an area is proportion-al to org incompetence in that area and real trouble in areas has always been preceded by at least two years of heavy entheta in public letters from that area.

<div align="right">HCOPL 1 March 66
The Guardian</div>

An Intelligence Officer collects data, files by
name and area, writes summaries and forwards the
data to the correct terminal. This person should
never at any time consider themselves capable
of giving an estimate of the situation. This
is done by the head of the division.

The standard actions of intelligence are:

1. Predict the trouble before it occurs by filing,
cross indexing, investigation of areas, statis-
tics and other means.

2. Investigate for crimes, the individual's who
are creating trouble.

3. Prosecute.

This/standard 1,2,3 action and should not be dev-
iated from. The maxim is "when under attack...
attack". The point is..even if you don't have
enough data to win the case , still attack...
loudly. Reason is, it is only those people that
have crimes that will attack us, and they will
soon back off for fear of being found out when
attacked back.

<div align="right">
HCOPL 25 Apr 68
Intell Actions
</div>

...by studying clippings you can find out who
has given them hostile data earlier or to whom
they are referred for hostile data.

By taking these hostile names, one can then do
a rundown on the person or his connections or
both.

As there are actually very few of these names
they thereby (cross filing) lead one to common
denominators and one can locate attack sources...

Intelligence data when gained, can be fed back
to PRO for more spectacular confrontations...

This activity (investigate, press counter-attack
such speakers) must not be neglected...

<div align="right">
HCOPL 16 Feb 69
Enemy Names
</div>

If you uniformly apply the tactics and strategy
of battle to the rows we get into, press or legal
or public confrontations, you will win...

One parallels in the field of thought what is
used and done in the field of battle in other
ages.

...it is not safe to hope for any half way win.
We must ourselves fight on a basis of total
attrition of the enemy. So never get reasonable
about him. Just go all the way in and obliterate
him.

It is <u>bad</u> warfare to fight battles on your own terrain, in your own subject area. It is not good to fight in the territory of allies. Fight battles wherever possible only on enemy terrain, in and about <u>his</u> subject and his people, not ours. You can gauge your relative success by this. When all battles are fought on his terrain you are winning.

A good general expends the maximum of enemy troops and the minimum of his own. He makes the war costly to the enemy, not to himself.

One cuts off enemy communications, funds, connections. He deprives the enemy of political advantages, connections and power. He takes over enemy territory. He raids and harasses. All on a thought plane - press, public opinion, governments, etc.

Intelligence identifies targets and finds out enemy plans and purposes, enemy connections and dispositions, etc. It is fatal to attack a wrong enemy. But it is good tactics to make the enemy attack wrong targets or persons himself.

We will make it all the way providing we look on this in terms of active battle and not as "if we are saintly good we will win". The people who win wars have a saintly image but they win the war by clever and forceful use of the rules of tactics, strategy and battle.

Wars are composed of many battles.

Never treat a war like a skirmish. Treat all skirmishes like wars.

HCOPL 16 Feb 69
Battle Tactics

...look for the very expert PRO response, the non-sequiter curve. These are the key figures who get their orders what to say, what to push.

These men are actually top PRO-intelligence operatives...

Many such spokesmen are under direct blackmail so the trick is to find what blackmail the enemy has on them...

These people are nobody in themselves. But they lead back to key organisations and these lead back to key figures highly placed. When you have these you are in business.

HCOPL 16 Feb 69
Enemy MOs

Essentially a covert operation is intended
to embarras, discredit or overthrow or remove
an actual or possible opponent.

It is a small war carried on without its true
source being disclosed.

Generally the operation is preceeded by
data collection to establish the target val-
idity and to plan the operation.

It follows all the rules of war but uses
propaganda psychological effect surprise
shock, etc., to achieve its ends.

It is normal to establish the objective of
the covert operation very clearly, whether
large or small, long term or short term.

To fight a covert operation or to do one
needs channels, contacts, direct planned
campaigns with known objectives. One can
also fight a covert operation by exposure
of it and its principals for which one needs
documents.

Covert operations are weak in that they fade
out on exposure. Thus a covert operation
has to lead to an overt operation to succeed.

Exposure, authenticated by documents, can
halt this process. The demonstration of
falsity of utterance can discredit the agents
planting data.

Whether overtly or covertly obtained data is:

(a) Collected

(b) Digested

(c) Used

Use is planning or exposure or for finding
where to get more data.

Our tactics of offense and defense are based
on data.

We need data to predict his offensives and
counter them and data to use in our attacks
on him.

We remove his agents and vanquish his troops
and we directly attack his central group.
That's sound tactics.

By demonstrating his falsity about us we rehab
our own repute (a long road back). By showing
his sources to be false we get them expended.

By showing him to be brutal, venal and plotting
we get him discarded.

                    2 Dec 69
                    Intell Actions
                    Covert Intell
                    Data Collection

The first function of Intelligence is providing
planning, command and policy making with ESTI-
MATIONS OF THE COURSE OF FUTURE EVENTS OF
IMPORTANCE TO THE GENERAL ACTIVITY.

The normal process of intelligence is to pro-
cure by numerous and varied and always changing
means or to use accumulated stores of DATA on
a given subject (called raw data), add to it
the data of the requiring organization, process
and coordinate the whole, add Flair, and fur-
nish a prediction which tells whether or not
there is a situation, its benefit or danger,
its extent and priority of attention, for the
use of planning command and policy and includ-
ing in its submission all data used (within the
limits of propriety and security). This is
called an ESTIMATE OF THE SITUATION.

ANY SITUATION WHICH COMES AS A SURPRISE TO THE
ESTABLISHMENT OR ANY PART OF IT IS AN INTELL-
IGENCE FAILURE.

INTELLIGENCE FURNISHES MUCH OF THE DATA ON
WHICH EVALUATION IS BASED. AND INTELLIGENCE
SHOULD FURNISH THE SITUATIONS, THE PREDICTIONS,
WHICH TELL WHETHER AN EVALUATION SHOULD OR
SHOULD NOT BE DONE.

What could be called SUPPORT intelligence
(or attack or offensive intelligence) is the
other side of the coin and also forms a vital
part of Intelligence activities. But here
Intelligence is carrying out part of a pro-
gram furnished by evaluation to command and
ordered executed.

All defensive and support intelligence is done
in a way that brings no liability to the organ-
ization. When Intelligence is clumsy and short
cuts its actions by illegal means or violence in
any service, it can have a Watergate. There is
no need for this sort of thing actually. A
"suitable guise" on the other hand is not ill-
egal. Papers secured in a legal manner can
be presented in courts and used whereas cov-
ertly obtained materials are sometimes useless
because one cannot refer to them and they would

serve at best only as a guide as to where to
look legally for documents one CAN use, so
why not have enough flair to know where
to look for papers that _can_ be legally used?

An Intelligence unit, then is the beginning
and part of the end of all SITUATIONS.
Normally it is divided into two parts, one
which is defensive, the other which is sup-
portive (or attack).

GO 784 8 June 73
Intell Its Role

PLANS:

1. An AG I fully trained on post.

2. The AG I carrying out standard actions:

    a. Knowledge by broad observation and
    attention to tell tale small signs.

    b. Predict the trouble before it occurs
    by filing, cross indexing, investigat-
    ion of areas, state and other means.

    c. Spot an area heating up for an attack.

    d. Locate the source of the attack on us.

    e. Investigate for crimes the individuals
    who are causing trouble.

    f. Handle by running operations, ex-
    posure via the press, or by prosecution.

3. The Planetary Planning Unit works out
    ways to prevent future attacks and how
    to take advantage of situations that
    will develop.   (HCOPL 1 Mar 66, Guardian)

4. The Org Planning Unit predicts trouble
    by such things as too much entheta from
    an area, too much sex going on in an
    org and working closely with HCO plans
    how to reorganize the org in that area
    without destroying it. Such planning
    also handles a public programme for an
    entheta area to weaken anti-Scientology
    propaganda, at the same time stiffening
    up Ethics and quality of service in the
    area and investigating why Ethics and
    quality of service are down so that they
    can be remedied. (1 March 66, Guardian)

PROGRAMMES:

1. Offensive programmes as issued or approved by LRH, MSH or the Guardian.

2. Defensive programmes as issued or approved by LRH, MSH or the Guardian.

PROJECTS:

As issued and necessary to carry out all programme targets.

ORDERS:

As needed to achieve compliance with command intention.

IDEAL SCENE:

Attackers against Scientology located and removed from their positions of power so that Scientology can get on with it. And any threat of attack restrained, leaving Scientology a clear field.

STATISTICS:

The statistic is a dual statistic:

a. # of Br I points. (see attached)

b. # of Br II points. (see attached)

VALUABLE FINAL PRODUCTS:

More amenable frames of mind on the part of all enemies.

Br I and II Statistics:

Br I (also that of the Br I Director):	Total Points
a. An enemy or potential enemy removed from the position of power from which he is attacking or could attack.	250
b. An enemy or potential enemy seriously restrained from attacking and whose position of power is seriously damaged.	75
c. An enemy or potential enemy whose position of power has been impaired and his ability to attack is reduced or impeded.	20
d. An attack prevented or depowered.	100
e. Documented and estimated data showing or predicting the state or plans of an enemy in relation to Scn or potential Scn activities; and distributed to the proper terminals in a usable form.	25
f. Documented criminal or scandalous (discreditable) data about an enemy, not publically known, turned over to the proper terminals in usable form.	25
g. Documented criminal or scandalous (discreditable) data about an enemy, publically available, turned over to the proper terminals in a usable form.	5
h. An enemy file on Scn obtained, excerpted and sent up lines in usable form.	25
i. An unpredicted attack.	-100
j. An operation blown where the enemy has evidence that it was of CofS origin.	-250

*   *   *   *

Br II (also that of the Br II Director):	Total Points

Number of staff, students and PCs actively on lines this week in the area. (Includes orgs and missions in the geographical area of responsibility).