Remimeo
....
C/Ses
Tech/Qual Auditors
C/ass IV Grad Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Interiorization Rundown Series 3

INTERIORIZATION INTENSIVE TWO WAY COMM

The interiorization rundown Revised (HCOB of 4 Jan 71R, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TAs – THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED) is ALWAYS followed by a final session with „two way comm on interiorization-exteriorization."

If the interiorization auditing has to be repaired, that is done first of course.

BUT AN INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN IS NEVER COMPLETE UNTIL AN ADDITIONAL LATER SESSION IS GIVEN ON TWO WAY COMM ON INTERIOR-EXTERIOR.

A C/S in repairing cases should always look to see if a pc:

1. Has exteriorized at some time during auditing.

2. If the pc has exteriorized and has not had an Int RD, Int must be checked, per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, and if charged the only C/S that can now be done is the interiorization rundown (except on Clears or above, who get the recall version).

3. If an Int rundown has been given, then the C/S must check to see if a later session was given on „two way comm Int-Ext."

4. If this two way comm was omitted, or not in a separate session, then „two way comm on Int-Ext" must be ordered even if there have been several intervening sessions.

THE PROCESS

As an auditor you would give the pc an R-Factor that you are going to go over the subject of interiorization and exteriorization with him.

Get him to tell you how he feels about these, based on the Int button(s) that was assessed and run, and on the subject of Int in general.

The two-way comm session is always taken to F/N.

COG

It is *usually* the case that the pc did not fully cognite when he had the Int rundown. His TA may stay high after an Int session. O/R is of little use to get it down. What's missing is the two way comm session. In it the pc usually cognites and things then go right.

The current C/S and auditor error is trying to do everything briefly and all at once. Ordering an Int rundown and two way comm on it in the same session would be part of such an erroneous trend.

The two way comm must be *another* session preferably on another later day.

It is a flagrant C/S error to omit „two way comm Int-Ext" after an interiorization rundown session.

The signal to order a check on interiorization per HCOB 4 Jan 71R is pc went exterior in auditing or has been found to have gone exterior. Auditing will not run well when the pc is audited past or after exteriorizing.

If charged, an Int rundown must now be ordered.

If unsuccessful it must be repaired.

Successful or repaired, an Int rundown must be followed by the two way comm session.

Two way comm must be done with exact TRs. The auditor must not Q and A. He must not evaluate (tell the pc what it's all about).

Two way comm is a precision process. The pc is kept talking, not by giving him commands. He is kept on the subject of Int-Ext (or the two way comm subject), not encouraged to leap about by Q and A.

You cannot consider an interiorization rundown complete unless followed by two way comm.

If the case hasn't had it following his Int rundown he must be ordered to it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dz.ka.rd.kjm