

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex
HCO BULLETIN OF 15 JUNE 1970

Remimeo

C/S Series 5
REPAIR EXAMPLE

Pc X was rushed through lower grades in 20 minutes, given Power to no result, was fed cognitions on upper level material and when run on Dianetics was found "stuck in present time". After two bogged sessions this pc, who had come from a far place, came to Flag where I took over (not very pleased).

The actual rundown outlined as a Repair Program (see C/S Series 3) was as follows on 2 8 1/2" x 13" red cards to be kept in pc's folder.

PC X

REPAIR PGM

I LAST ERROR REPAIRED 27.5.70

II. BOGGED SESSION 6/6/70

Repaired 11/6/70 (too long a wait but done).

III. Two Way Comm on what did you experience in Power Processing Successful 1/6/70
(Revealed all Lower Grades out, Clear Cog fed him, unable to really run Dn.)

IV. L4A assessed on each list run on him, one list at a time as he recalls it.

V. Auditor Auditing Prepcheck.

VI. Gains Prepcheck.

VII. An assessed GF done to get each charge found off.

VIII. 2 Way Comm How Do You Feel About Auditing Now? Completes auditing cycle.
Repair.

IX. 2 way comm on life before Scn. (Note all Falls and BDs.) To C/S.

X. C/S to pick up items out of IX and Prepcheck each one that still reads when called off
(one to be called then run, no assessment).

XI. Two way comm on rough areas When have you had a rough time? Note all Falls and
BDs. To C/S.

XII. C/S to list all F or BD items. Prepcheck each one.

XIII. 2 way comm What possessions have you had? To C/S.

XIV. C/S to list all F—BD Items and Prepcheck.

XV. L1B in auditing and 2 way comm on gains.

XVI. C/S Note what period of his life pc hung up in. Auditor to put in ruds on it.

XVII. Find out what body part or area hurts. Put in Ruds on it.

(Pgm can be extended to be sure pc has had wins and is in better condition than was in
before auditing and no longer overwhelmed or can be cut if this occurs before then.)

(Return Pgm begins with TRs 0-9, on up the Class Chart as needed to get his abilities and ends off with a full repair of Power, rehabbing Pr Pr 4 and 5 and running 6 to EP and checking lists. He will then be back on Class Chart properly.)

This is not a Repair Pgm to be copied particularly. It is given as an extent of Repair which would then be done session by session and ticked off by the C/S as he ordered each new step.

The No. IV L4A prepared list would be wholly assessed for each specific list.

The V and VI are a whole list of things not given here, common to such a step, but containing no dynamite-type things like "SPs" or "Overwhelm" or other things like the names of major processes.

Note that everything from I to VIII are strictly auditing repairs.

IX to XVII handle life areas.

This case should have been *started* in auditing with a Life Repair Pgm such as given from IX to XVII. Had he been on drugs as a habit (or just shaky about life) TRs 0 to 9 could have begun his auditing followed by Life Repair IX to XVII.

NEW DEVELOPMENT

These actions of Repair before level auditing are a new development as such but 2 way comm and these actions are all from the early '60s SHSBC.

POSSIBLE FAULTS

Evaluation, Q and A and an inability to listen resulting in the auditor chopping comm would be the chief reasons any errors would creep into the sessions given in the Repair Program. As these might not show up in the auditing reports, if the Repair Pgm sessions did not result in gain the C/S would have the auditor's auditing checked for these points of Evaluation, Q and A and comm chop. The sessions are actually very easy to run and could be done by an Academy Class III or better by a Class VI.

NOTE ON VIII

AUDITING

VIII Auditing presumed, when developed, that lower grades were still being delivered.

VIII Auditing and Training are fully valid. They are, as the Class infers, a high level of auditing and remain so.

The sequence of recent development has been:

VIII Auditing to Standard

Dianetic HDC-HDG auditing to Standard Dianetics C/Sing for all levels

C/Sing below levels

C/Sing to handle the neglect of lower grades and SHSBC data which are being gotten back in rapidly.

The C/S is therefore confronted with cases without lower grades and the earliest and reissued Class Chart neglected.

The mania for Quickie Lower Grades and the acts by a few who fed upper "cognitions" and other evaluation to pcs wrecked for a while a part of the bridge and made it impassable.

Much of the current C/S work should take this into consideration. The Repair Pgm given above is not as long as it could be and certainly would be no shorter.

The IX to XVII are a brief layout of how new cases could be handled BEFORE any actual level auditing as a guarantee of real gains. This is a whole zone of action (pre-Level, pre-Dianetics) becoming increasingly necessary by the decline of the culture as visible in pcs now beginning processing as different from those even up to 1962.

These IX to XVII steps would also work on institutional cases but one should take it even easier.

I repeat, this Repair Pgm I to XVII is an EXAMPLE and its numbers are not useful as different Repair Pgms would be designed by the C/S for the pc. Many other things could be done, none of them heavy or desperate.

The C/S should caution any Registrar NOT to sell with the name "Repair Pgm".

This is entirely technical and not PR or Sales. It is just *Auditing* as far as the Registrar is concerned.

Had Pc X been processed on all earlier grades in a scramble before 1962, one would list and then rehab every process run as part of the *Return Pgm*. Such a step would be done as the *last* step however of the Return Program as a prelude to straightening out the highest grade falsely attained before Repair. The rehab would not be a substitute for running all the processes of the levels not previously run. Rehab is no part of Repair.

TECH ACTION

We have fallen into a belief that any repair is done in Review. Review is now the place the pc goes when the C/S gives up.

Repair is a Tech Div Action and counts as hours of auditing delivered. Auditing is auditing. Obviously 2 25 hour intensives could be consumed in a Life Repair before a new pc ever came near even an assessment of the minus scale of the first Class Chart much less a level!

In Academies, students may get anxious to "get their grades practiced" and so may skip repair actions needful. Thus upper level students should audit lower level students.

DIANETICS

Pcs audited only on Dianetics in franchises and centers will make some astonishing physical and even mental improvements. The larger percentage will do so.

However, a C/S will find some have had physical gains "without finding out about it". The reality factor has not increased to any degree.

Such pcs of course get a long Repair Program and are then given a Return Program to Dianetics, their highest level.

The sample Repair Pgm above fits such pcs as well as one that attained higher levels before it was found that lower grades were out.

There are no variables in what the pgms are:

1. Pc bogs or not gaining.
2. Repair Pgm outlined and concluded.
3. Return Pgm outlined and concluded.

What the C/S puts in the Repair Pgm and what he puts in the Return Pgm can be very variable indeed.

C/S Q AND A

The only fault I've seen in a C/S trying to outline 2 way comm could be called a "C/S Q and A".

The pc has a big win about "Frogs". A huge cog F/N VGIs changes his life.

The Q and A C/S is to order "Frogs two way commed".

The system one uses is not to use pc wins as items to further handle. That stifles (overruns) the win. It's an ability gained.

One should be able to write off win items as gains and let the pc have them. And use items pc mentions that read (shows he has Reality on them) to push up to new wins.

The C/S in looking into 2 way comm for things to handle finds *his* prizes in subjects that read but haven't F/Ned.

The cycle is find an item that reads, push it to F/N cog GIs. Leave that. Find another that reads. Push it to F/N cog VGIs. Leave that. Find another. . . . etc.

2 way comm with the auditor marking F, LF, LLF, BDs, etc gives the C/S worksheets to pick new items out of. The C/S looks to see if any of these were the subject of any F/N. If so he crosses them off. He orders prepchecks or two way comm on the items that read and haven't F/Ned.

That's the way the C/S gets his Instructions to Auditor for the exact actions of the Repair Pgm steps he has already outlined.

L. RON HUBBARD

Founder

LRH:nt.kjm.rd

Copyright © 1970

by L. Ron Hubbard

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED