

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex
HCO BULLETIN OF 1 JUNE 1980

Co-Audit Course

Checklists

Tech

Qual

Co-Audit Series 5

C/SING FOR CO-AUDITS

Ref: HCOB 2 Oct 71, C/S Series 63 C/SING FOR NEW AUDITORS OR VETERANS
HCOB 16 Jun 70, C/S Series 6 WHAT THE C/S IS DOING
HCOB 7 Apr 60, A NEW SUMMARY OF AUDITING

The whole of the C/S Series applies, of course, in C/Sing for co-audits. But most particularly, where co-audits are involved, the C/S must remember that he is more often than not C/Sing for green, inexperienced auditors or, in the case of some co-audits, even non-tech trained auditors who are co-auditing on a read-it, drill-it, do-it basis. And the pc who is being audited by this new, untried auditor will himself be, more than likely, a new, inexperienced pc.

This calls for a gradient approach, both from the standpoint of C/Sing for the case and C/Sing for the inexperienced auditor.

The purpose of a co-audit is to get people up the Grade Chart. Any C/Sing is always done from that viewpoint.

To accomplish this best on a co-audit, C/Ses are kept simple and within the do-ability of the pc and the auditor. The guidelines here are well laid out in HCOB 2 Oct 71, C/S Series 63, C/SING FOR NEW AUDITORS OR VETERANS, and the wise Co-Audit C/S will become very familiar with the cases and the abilities of his co-auditors and will use those guidelines accordingly.

Because of these factors, the Co-Audit C/S will need to keep even a sharper eye out than usual for any of the things that can come up or go awry in a session and cut across the progress of the case. The main things to watch out for and get handled when they do occur are:

1. Pc going exterior. (Int Rundown Series)
2. Unhandled PTSness. (HCOB 31 Dec 78, Iss II, OUTLINE OF PTS HANDLING, and all of its referenced issues)
3. Unhandled Repair. (HCOB 31 Mar 80, C/S Series 109, CONDITIONAL STEP FOLLOWING THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN)
4. Previous incomplete processing needing completion. (C/S Series 109)
5. Mutual Out Ruds/Mutual Out Ethics. (HCOB 17 Feb 74, C/S Series 91, MUTUAL OUT RUDS, and HCOB 21 Aug 79, TWINNING)
6. Overrun/Underrun. (HCOB 19 Apr 72, C/S Series 77, „QUICKIE“ DEFINED, and HCOB 21 Mar 74, END PHENOMENA)

The point here is not so much that these are more likely to occur on a co-audit than elsewhere (though this may be true in some cases). The point is that when they do occur an inexperienced co-auditor is less likely to be aware of them or report them. And the pc himself is less likely to know what is going on.

C/Sing for a co-audit is not a delicate business. Co-auditors are usually eager to jump in with both feet and get the job done.

It's not a delicate business, but it is a matter of using a gradient approach. When the right gradient approach is used there's a lot of satisfaction for a C/S in bringing a co-audit team on up the line and winning, both as pcs and co-auditors.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:bk