

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex
HCO BULLETIN OF 20 DECEMBER 1979

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

Advanced Courses

Specialist

Checksheet

ACS Auditors

ACS C/Ses

NED for OTs Series 48

C O N F I D E N T I A L

AUDITING SOMEBODY UNDER CONSTANT
AND CONTINUOUS PT STRESS

(References: Tape 6308C15, SHSBC 295, THE TONE ARM

HCOB 4 Sep 68 "Don't force a pc who is ill."

HCOB 24 Jul 69R SERIOUSLY ILL PCS

Rev. 24.7.78

HCOB 12 Mar 69 PHYSICALLY ILL PCs AND PRE-OTs

Book DIANETICS THE MODERN SCIENCE OF MENTAL HEALTH

Book SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL

Scientology Axiom 29

HCOB 22 Sep 78 II NOTs Series 5, MISCONCEPTIONS

HCOB 30 Sep 78 I NOTs Series 8 BASIC PRINCIPLES

UPON WHICH THE RUNDOWN IS BASED

HCOB 4 Jul 79 HANDLING CORRECTION LISTS ON OTs

HCOB 22 Dec 79 FLYING RUDS AT OT III AND ABOVE)

A pc or Pre-OT living in a dangerous environment or under continuous PT stress is subject to the rudiments going out between sessions and is subject to continuous restimulation. Under such circumstances one can only audit in the direction of destimulation and handling that which is already in restimulation. To stir up anything else on the case adds to what is already in restimulation and would worsen the case condition. (Reference: Tape 6308C15, SHSBC 295, THE TONE ARM) As the person is already in a state of overwhelm any error in the auditing, or any further restimulation in the auditing could easily make the person ill or go into a spin.

Hence one may not audit a pc under heavy stress, or a pc who is ill, on a major rundown nor on an Advance Program. The auditing of cases in this condition must be accurate and flawless. The Program and C/Sing must parallel the pc's mind and must be in the direction of handling what has already been stirred up in life. High powered techniques such as those used in NED for OTs, if incorrectly used could easily plunge the pc in even deeper, but without auditing, a person living in a dangerous environment and under constant stress is only likely to get worse. If the person is sick as well as being subjected to a dangerous environment, there would be no hope of recovery without auditing. And the auditing must be powerful enough to not only keep up with the day to day stress and restimulation, but also to make rapid headway toward recovery.

I recently solved this dilemma with remarkable success. The routine developed is both safe and powerfully effective. It resulted in a remarkable resurgence and recovery for a pc who was physically ill, under heavy constant stress and living in a dangerous environment. This tech is vital for the handling of such a case.

THE THEORY OF THIS ROUTINE The Importance of Rudiments on Such a Case

A person who is under constant PT stress, or who is living in a dangerous environment, is subject to restimulation and life knocking the Ruds out. Therefore particular attention must be paid to keeping the Rud in, in any auditing done on such a person. The action of flying ruds alone is beneficial and assists the person by getting recent and PT locks off the case. It is also very essential that the Ruds are flown each session because auditing over out-ruds could be enough to spin a case in this condition. (This doesn't mean that one could or should audit other cases over out-ruds, just that the consequences would be more disastrous here.)

Light Touch

A person who is under stress or sick cannot usually be audited on anything heavy, nor on major actions or programs. The worse off the case or person is, the lighter the approach you must make in C/Sing and auditing. There is already too much stress and restimulation and the idea is to get what is already in restimulation keyed out or handled - not to stir up anything else. Heavy major actions on such a case could also cause a spin. And the auditing itself must be accurate and flubless so as not to add any more BPC to the case. (Ref: HCOB 4 Sep 68 "Don't force a pc who's ill.", HCOB 24 Jul 69R, Rev. 24.7.78 SERIOUSLY ILL PCs.)

Session Length

A person under stress or who is sick should not be audited for long as the person's attention span and stamina are lower than usual. Any sessions should be short-sessioned and ended on the first win or relief for the pc, regardless of whether the C/S for the session has been completed.

How to Fly Ruds on OTs

I discovered that auditors were using the older phrasing of rudiments questions for lower level pcs on OTs. Questions containing the word "you", such as "Do you have an ARC break?". This is incorrect as it is evaluative. It says whose charge it is. NOTs Series 5, MISCONCEPTIONS and NOTS Series 8, BASIC PRINCIPLES UPON WHICH THE RUNDOWN IS BASED, explained in full why it is important to handle the misconception of mis-identification. It is equally important not to ask a question which evaluates that it is the Pre-OT's charge. (Ref: Scn Axiom 29)

The correct wording of Rudiments questions for use on OT III or above and on NOTs are:

"Is there an ARC break?"

"Is there a present time problem?"

"Is there a withhold?"

"Is there an overt?"

"Is there an invalidation?"

"Is there an evaluation?"

Sometimes the rudiment will run and F/N and blow just on that rudiment alone and without differentiating whose charge it is. It is possible that the Pre-OT isn't no to differentiating whose it is. This is how rudiments run all the time on lower level cases. It just runs generally as the rudiment, without establishing whose it is. The trouble you can get into is misownership, whereby you are running a charge that belongs to a BT as if it were the Pre-OT's charge. Or the charge of one BT is misowned as belonging to some other BT or cluster. When misownership of charge occurs, it won't run or as-is or blow, you can't F/N it, and it will start turning on more mass or solidity. If you get into this sort of situation, realize that the trouble is caused by misownership and handle accordingly. But if when flying a rudiment, or other charge found such as a reading line on a prepared list, if it just runs and blows, realize you've had a lucky break. Don't interrupt this to try to find out who's it is, or try to take it up again after it has blown. This is obvious enough because as soon as you get onto the reading rudiment or reading line the charge starts coming off and the whole thing F/Ns and blows. This is a special condition and if this doesn't occur, you proceed as follows.

By using "Is there an(a). . .?" you are not evaluating whose charge it is. Then the auditor can establish whose charge it is by asking: "Is (charge found) yours? a BT's? a cluster's?" and indicate which of these read. (Sometimes you may find that a charge can belong to and read on more than one of the above, in which case you would handle both reads. It is possible that an ARC break for example could be shared by all present, and would simply run as the ARC break generally, without establishing whose it is. And there is a condition where you may find for example that it is the Pre-OT's out-rud, and also a BT's or cluster's. The question: "Is it also (yours?) (a BT's) (a cluster's?)", would be used in this case.)

Unless you get a discharge and blow of the rud as described above, it is essential to find out if any charge found belongs really to a BT or cluster, when the charge is found. Don't fly all the ruds for example and then ask, "Are all these . . .?" as that would generalize it. The rule is on ruds, or on a prepared list, or when finding a charge on a case, at once check if that charge belongs to a BT or cluster, and find where that BT or cluster is and limit the Pre-OT's attention to that specific area. (This is also covered in HCOB 4 Jul 79 HANDLING CORRECTION LISTS ON OTs.) And when you have handled the rudiment or prepared list charge found on that BT or cluster, take further actions to blow it by usual NOTs techniques.

Then the auditor continues on down the rudiments questions to the next reading rudiment and handles it as above.

(Also see HCOB 22 Dec 79 FLYING RUDS AT OT III AND ABOVE.)

By flying the rudiment and blowing the BT or cluster, you are not only getting the lock off, but you are also handling fully what is in restim.

Unburdening

Unburdening is defined in the Technical Dictionary as follows: 1. "As a basic is not at once available on any chain, one usually unburdens it by running later engrams, secondaries and locks. The act of unburdening would be digging off the top to get at the bottom as in moving sand." (HCOB 23 Apr 69) 2. "The technique of thoroughly bringing to view everything contained in an engram by scanning its locks. Alternate running of the engram and scanning its locks bring about a maximal release of entheta." (SOS, Bk 2, pp 280-281)

This routine works on the principle of unburdening. In one session charge is gotten off by flying the ruds and additionally (using usual procedures of NOTs) by blowing any BT or cluster who had that out-rud. But this may not be all there is to it. Underlying this, there may be another BT or cluster which is also in restimulation, but being more dormant, or due to the lowered ability of the Pre-OT to confront and handle charge, is not immediately available to be run. By getting off the charge that is available, underlying charge becomes more available to be audited. And, by getting the ruds in, the Pre-OT's ability to confront and handle other charge is raised. Hence, in the next session you may well find that there is more to what was taken up in the previous session and now be able to effect a deeper handling.

It isn't that you handled all there was in the previous session and then more got restimulated before next session. What happens is that in one session you unburden the charge that is already in restimulation, then in the next session you are now able to handle what was sitting underneath the charge you got off in the previous session. In other words, session by session you're able to accomplish a deeper handling.

It works on a 24 hour basis. What you unburden in the session on one day, becomes available to be handled in the session on the next day.

Further data on the subject of unburdening is covered in DMSMH and Science of Survival.

CASE HISTORY

The following is an example which illustrates this routine, taken from the case history of a Pre-OT who was physically ill and under constant heavy PT stress.

1st session, 1st day.

The auditor began flying the rudiments. Not all ruds were flown as the Pre-OT brightened up and had a win on spotting the source of an upset. (None of the ruds flown in this session read as belonging to a BT or cluster.) Time: 10 mins.

2nd session, 1st day.

This session was begun with flying the ruds. The first ruds flown did not read as belonging to a BT or cluster and were taken to F/N or E/S to F/N. The PTP Rud went E/S to whole track medical treatment, and a postulate that it was "Better to die than get medical treatment", and cog on how that carried forward to PT. The Overt Rud read as belonging to a BT, produced a series of BDs, and was blown using the NOTS Valence Technique (per NOTs Series NOTs Series 7, NOTs Series 47). While running this BT, heat turned on. The BT blew and so did the heat. The auditor sought to continue the session to fly the remaining rud, which was an error, and the TA started to climb. The auditor indicated "Overrunning the EP of the session" and the TA BDed back down to F/N, and the session was ended. On Say/Ask "I had a good win, all that heat blew and I got cool". Time: 0.25 mins.

(No session was given on the 2nd day, due to no read on Metabolism test. The Pre-OT had been on a juice only diet. At this point the Pre-OT came off juice only.)

3rd session, 3rd day.

The first action done in this session was to check the area of the body, from which the BT was blown in last session, and this was unreading. Then a NOTs Repair List was started (as the Pre-OT had been being audited on NOTS prior to the Illness). Several lines on the Repair List read and were handled. Some of the lines simply F/Ned on PT points of stress, and two of the lines uncovered and blew a BT.

After handling several questions on the Repair List, the Pre-OT had a win, and the session was ended. Time: 0.36 mins.

4th session, 3rd day.

The ruds were flown (very little on ruds this session), then the NOTs Repair List was continued and the second line taken up resulted in a BD from TA 4.5 to 3.0 and a line charge. The session was ended with the Pre-OT in high spirits, F/N, VGIs and joking at Exams. Time: 0.16 mis.

(Of interest is that the BD above resulted from the Pre-OT recalling a this life person who "thought he was everyone, All is one".)

At this point the Pre-OT was put onto a biochemical handling in addition to the auditing. This consisted of: vitamins, minerals, and Cal/Mag to remedy deficiency; freshly squeezed fruit juice as Vit C is said to go out of fruit juice within half an hour; and because the Pre-OT was underweight and had been off oils and fat, a supplement of a blend of oils (soy, walnut, peanut and safflower) preceded by taking a balanced combination of enzymes a few minutes before eating to help digest the oil/fat. These were taken as a supplement to the Pre-OT's diet.

The reason for the biochemical handling is that in order to accomplish recovery from a recurring physical condition, it is necessary to have the enzymes, oils, minerals and vitamins necessary for physical reconstruction. In the absence of these one could continue to have trouble.

Quite in addition to auditing, experience has told that biochemical necessities are needful in full recovery. (This point has earlier been made in HCOB 12 Mar 69 PHYSICALLY ILL PCs AND PRE-OTs.)

5th session, 4th day.

The auditor flew all ruds, overts and inval. There was no read on any of these ruds as "also belonging to a BT or cluster?", and the ruds were flown to F/N or E/S/ to F/N. The ruds dealt with points of PT stress and restimulation. The Pre-OT was very bright after flying the ruds and the session was ended. Time: 0.27 mins.

6th session, 4th day.

All ruds, overts and inval were again flown, with very little charge on the ruds since the previous session. The Pre-OT had a "dull pain" which was not reading and was not taken up. The Pre-OT said, "I'm feeling much better, only have a dull pain, not in the agony I was in, I'm more cheerful and bright, and now I'm eating again I feel stronger." The session was ended on this. Time: 0.06 mins.

(NB: Although the Pre-OT had a dull pain, and the TA was up, it would not have been OK to pursue this session further, as the pain was not reading and the Pre-OT was happy with progress.)

7th session, 6th day.

(After the last session pain turned back on again.)

In this session the auditor began by locating the area of the body where the pain had turned on, then identifying it as coming from a cluster. The cluster didn't answer up and so was run on "Hello & OK" until it woke up and came into communication. Then it was blown with NOTs Valence Technique, with a large TA BD, wide F/N, VGIs, Pre-OT line charging and amused. (An alternate handling would have been to assess for its mutual incident, as it was a cluster, but Date/Locate is a bit strenuous for a sick pc and an error in it can be very upsetting.) The session was ended at this point. Time: 0.11 mins, 4.0 divs TA.

(Note that this cluster has been in restimulation all along and was the underlying cause of the stomach pain and the stomach condition. It was unburdened in the sessions on the previous day, but did not become available to be audited until this session. It is a classic example of unburdening later charge off the case, and then 24 hours later the basic charge becoming available to be audited. It was unreading in the previous session, but in this session even though still very dormant, it read well with LFBD.)

8th session, 6th day.

The ruds were flown, including overts and inval. Some PT matters came up and were F/Ned on ruds. No BTs or clusters on ruds. Then another check was made of the body area, there were no somatics and the area unreading. The Pre-OT felt good, and

that she was better now. The session was ended. Time: 0.08 mins. (No recurrence of physical condition.)

9th session, 7th day.

The Pre-OT came to session in improved physical condition, no somatic recurrence, but emotionally upset about events between sessions.

The ruds were flown which handled the stress and upsets since the last session. No BTs or clusters were found on ruds. After flying the ARC Break Rud and two PTPs, the needle float widened and the Pre-OT became VGIs again and so the session was ended at this point. Time: 0.18 mins.

In spite of the heavy enturbulation between sessions, there had been no recurrence of the physical condition and Pre-OT health, skin color, eyes, etc. continued to improve.

Subsequently the Pre-OT continued in good health and good spirits. The biochemical handling was continued to ensure full physical recovery. Despite extreme and heavy stress on the Pre-OT from day to day, a full recovery to health was accomplished, with no further relapse since, and all of this in but one week!

THE ROUTINE

Routine A:

Routine A is the special condition of a reading rudiment running and blowing the charge on the rudiment alone and resulting in a resurgence. This is what we call a lucky break. You'd end the session on the win. You get a free bonus of it all blowing and F/Ning, and you mustn't overlook this possibility. And don't then start in on an additional action of checking for whose it is, nor taking up another rud or anything else. End off the session on that relief or win.

Most people are sick due to some sort of out-rudiment scene and if you can just find the out-rud you can blow it and get a case resurgence on that alone. So if you get a resurgence on a sick pc on a rud alone, don't go any further. You just had a lucky break.

This doesn't apply just to NOTs, one time a girl who was sick - nigh unto death - and in looking it over it was obvious this girl was sitting on a withhold from her parents. There was an assessment of the ruds, and on the reading one I asked, "What might that be?" and next thing there was charge flying off all over the place and she got a resurgence on that alone. There wasn't even much of a discussion of the reading rud. But the resurgence occurred, and you have to be alert for that and don't overlook it. End off on a win.

Routine A doesn't just apply to sick pcs, you can get it at any time on ruds on any case. It all just blows and you leave it at that.

Routine B

Routine B is another special condition. It applies when the pc got sick during auditing, or within three days of last session. It consists of an assessment made up by the C/S from a folder study of what it might be. You're not just assessing the ruds here, as in Routine A. It's a prepared assessment of possible causes of the trouble or BPC. The C/S list is assessed and you indicate the charge, and if the assessment is accurate you can get a resurgence on that.

A pc one time got sick after a session during some auditing and turned on a 104.5 degree temperature and still raising and he was about to be rushed off to a hospital. The C/S looked over the folder and made up a little assessment of about eight items that it could be and had it assessed. The biggest read was on "wrong item" and this was indicated. The TA BDeD from about 5.0 to about 2.5 and this guy sat up in bed and said, "Oh yeah, so that's what it was!". His temperature turned off and that was that. No further action taken. He got his resurgence. (This doesn't mean that on Routine B you would always get a resurgence on indication alone, but it can happen.)

Routine B differs from Routine A in that instead of the ruds, a C/S prepared assessment based on folder study is done, and it applies when the pc got sick during or within three days of auditing.

Routine C:

Routine C is the more usual routine. Routines A and B are for special conditions. The steps of Routine C follow.

1. Fly all ruds including Overts, Invalidation and Evaluation at the beginning of each session.

The phrasing of the Rudiments question is:

"Is there an ARC break?"

"Is there a present time problem?"

"Is there a withhold?"

"Is there an overt?"

"Is there an invalidation?"

"Is there an evaluation?"

Having gotten a read on a Rudiment question, the auditor now establishes whose it is, i.e. whether the charge belongs to a BT or cluster or the Pre-OT, by asking: "Is it yours? a BT's? a cluster's?". The auditor notes which of these reads, and indicates it to the Pre-OT. If it read on a BT or on a cluster the auditor has the Pre-OT find where the BT or cluster is by position in relation to the body and then flies the rudiment on that BT or cluster, and then blows the BT or cluster by usual NOTs techniques. If the charge read as belonging to the Pre-OT, the auditor simply flies the rud to F/N or E/S to F/N.

See if any charge found belongs to a BT or cluster, when found, and handle that BT or cluster. Don't do a series of actions, such as flying all the ruds, and then generalizing by asking if all these belonged to a BT or cluster.

The idea here, on Routine C, is that when getting a charge off, to at once establish if that charge belongs to a BT or cluster that either it (BT or cluster), or the Pre-OT, has identified with the Pre-OT (In other word, the possibilities are that: (a) the BT or cluster thinks it is the Pre-OT, or (b) the Pre-OT thinks the BT or cluster is himself/herself, or both (a) and (b).)

By so doing you are handling any misconception or misidentification. The theory of this is covered in NOTs Series 5 MISCONCEPTIONS, NOTs Series 8 BASIC PRINCIPLES ON WHICH THE RUNDOWN IS BASED and HCOB 4 Jul 79 HANDLING CORRECTION LISTS ON OTs. These issues must be understood for the auditor to successfully handle this, as they explain why one must establish whose charge it is, and handle that being.

The question asked to establish whose charge it is must not be invalidative or evaluative (Auditor' Code, Clauses 1 & 2). That's why you don't ask "Do you have an ARC break?" (evaluation), or argue with the Pre-OT if he/she says whose it is, or ask if he has made a mistake in thinking it was . . .'s or anything like that (invalidation). This is why you use "Is there a . . .?" and then you can say "Is it yours? a BT's?" . . . or "Is it also . . .'s?"

Correctly establishing whose charge it is will bring in Good Indicators and permit the charge to be handled. A wrong assignment of charge will result in further misidentification, failure to blow the charge, and Bad Indicators.

The handling of any ruds type question, prepared list question, or any charge found on the case follows this pattern:

- (a) The auditor gets a read on a rud question, prepared list question, has the Pre-OT answer briefly and acknowledges.
- (b) The auditor establishes whose charge it is, i.e. Pre-OT's, a BT's or a cluster's and indicates this to the Pre-OT.
- (c) If a BT or cluster charge, the position of the BT or cluster in relation to the body is found.
- (d) If not already F/Ned, the rud question (or prepared list question or charge found) is F/Ned on the being to whom it belongs.
- (e) If the charge found belonged to a BT or cluster, and if it has not already blown, blow it using NOTs techniques.

Example:

Auditor: "Is there an ARC break?" (no read)

"Is there a present time problem?" Fall.

Pre-OT: Answers stating the PTP.

Auditor: Acknowledges the answer.

Auditor: "Is that present time problem yours? (Fall), a BT's? (no read), a cluster's? (no read)"
The auditor indicates what read.
This PTP will either F/N (if it hasn't already) or it is taken E/S to F/N.

(Note: If you get into a tangle ask, "Is it also yours?", "Is it also a BT's?", "Is it also a cluster's?", even "Is it also theirs?". There's a possibility it could belong to everyone present. And there's another condition that can occur. You ask, "Is it yours?" (Fall) "That read", and he says "Yeah" and right at that moment a point of separation could occur. At any time some awareness of separation can occur, (awareness of separate identity-ness, it can occur at any time in NOTs. So also be alert for a sudden separation when he suddenly realizes "It is not . . .'s", and don't go on handling it as if it was!)

Example:

Auditor: "Is there a PTP?" Fall.

Pre-OT: "Problem about eating." Fall.

Auditor: Acknowledges.

Auditor: "Does that PTP belong to you? (no read), a BT?
(Fall), a cluster? (no read)". Auditor indicates it read on "BT".

Auditor: "Where is that BT?

Pre-OT: "Ear" (no read), "Left ear lobe" (Fall).

Auditor: Acknowledges, and tells Pre-OT to put his
attention on "Left ear lobe" so as not to
jump to another BT).

"Does that BT have an earlier similar problem
about eating?" (Fall).

Pre-OT "Starving to death." LF - F/N.

Auditor: Acks then indicates the F/N.

Then (as no blow yet), (with Pre-OT's attention
still on "Left ear lobe"), the auditor has the
Pre-OT run Valence Technique to a blow of that BT.

2. Then the auditor continues on down the rudiments until the next reading rudiment and handles it as above. All the ruds are flown as above, or until the Pre-OT gets relief or has a win, in which case the session EP for a pc in this condition has been reached and the session is ended. Even though it may be obvious by meter or by Pre-OT's condition that there is more to handle, do not continue the session. You will get a chance to take it up again next session.

3. In the next session again fly all the ruds in the same manner. If you left an action incomplete in the last session, or if you suspect there is more to handle on a charge found in the previous session take it up and check it again. If now available to be run it will read. Very often, the BT's of cluster's charge, destimulated (unburdened) in the previous session, will become available to be run and handles more fully 24 hour later. Do not be dismayed if you only get locks to start with, by repeating this routine from session to session, the case will unburden and you will get the underlying charge. This C/S is actually a continuing program.

4. If the Pre-OT was being audited on the NED for OTs Advance Program and became ill, you must include a NOTs Repair List early in the program. (In any event do not continue an Advance Program on a pc who became ill or subject to continuous stress, but re-program and C/S as above until the condition is fully handled.)

5. Always include a biochemical or nutritional handling combined with the auditing program to get any deficiency or inadequacy in the pc's diet remedied and to assist the body in regenerating itself.

Note 1: Do not ask a Pre-OT for ". . . a BT who has a somatic?" as that would be out-tech. (It takes two beings to produce a somatic. A cluster could have a somatic, not a single BT. This is covered in NOTs Series 5 MISCONCEPTIONS.) Note 2: This issue does not cancel anything in NOTs Series 34 THE SEQUENCE FOR HANDLING A PHYSICAL CONDITION.

SUMMARY

The important points in this routine for auditing somebody under constant and continuous PT stress are re-iterated here.

While it is always important to fly the Ruds in NOTs, and disastrous to audit NOTs over out-ruds, it is of even greater importance to fly all ruds on a Pre-OT who is under constant PT stress or who is living in a dangerous environment. And the auditing of such a person should begin with ruds. And flying all the ruds must be repeated over and over, and is in itself a sort of continuing program.

It is important when getting charge off, to at once check if that charge came from a BT or cluster, that either it, or the Pre-OT, had identified with the Pre-OT. Don't go on and fly all the ruds and then check, as that would generalize it and result in further misidentification. Check any charge found, when found ,(with the exception of Routines A and B) as to whether it belongs to a BT or cluster, and handle with NOTs techniques.

Auditors and C/Ses must recognize that a Pre-OT who was in good shape and able to run Advance Program actions, when subjected to heavy PT stress, a dangerous environment or illness, is now in a much lowered case condition; such must not be audited over out-ruds, audited roughly, or audited on heavy actions. A case in this condition is easily overwhelmed. But if audited as outlined herein, will make remarkable and rapid recovery.

Sessions on persons under stress or who are ill, should be short, and ended on first win or relief for the pc. Even though there is more to be handled on the case, do not press on past a win or relief in a misguided desire to handle it all at once, as this will plow the pc back in again. The idea is to aid recovery by keying out locks, keeping the ruds in, and a succession of small wins which later add up to a miracle win.

Understand the principle of unburdening which is the key point of this routine. What you unburden in today's session will become available to be audited in tomorrow's session. This is quite different from day to day restimulation. What you are handling here was already in restimulation, but has to be unburdened in order to make it available to be handled and blown. Hence, when you unburden charge in a session, end the session on the win or relief, and always check in the next session to see if there is anything further on that subject or area, which is now available to be fully handled.

On a pc who is sick or ill, combine a biochemical handling with auditing, in order to remedy any deficiency (and remember that such deficiencies will vary from one person to another), and in order to permit the body to reconstruct itself and fully recover.

Whenever a pc gets ill during or within three days of auditing, always include the Repair List or Correction List early in the program to locate and handle the BPC.

This technical development is vital to the successful handling of pcs under constant PT stress, or who are living in a dangerous environment. Although it was previously known that one should not audit pcs under stress on major actions, this routine now enables auditors and C/Ses to handle cases in those conditions with safety, rapidity and full success.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:dm:kjm
Copyright 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED